The opinion in support of the decision being entered today was <u>not</u> written for publication and is <u>not</u> binding precedent of the Board.

Paper No. 28

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS AND INTERFERENCES

Ex parte GREGORY J. BEVERIDGE

Appeal No. 2004-0656 Application No. 09/664,130 MAILED

JUL 3 0 2004

U.S. PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS AND INTERFERENCES

ORDER DISMISSING APPEAL

Before HARKCOM, <u>Acting Chief Administrative Patent Judge</u>, WILLIAM F. SMITH and NASE, <u>Administrative Patent Judges</u>.

Per curiam.

On July 7, 2004, counsel for the appellant filed a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) under 37 CFR § 1.114. Pursuant to the notice entitled "Request for Continued Examination Practice and Changes to Provisional Application Practice," 65 Fed. Reg. 50092, 50095 (Aug. 16, 2000), and the provisions of 37 CFR § 1.114(d), a request for continued examination under 37 CFR § 1.114 filed after appeal has been taken, but prior to a decision on the appeal, "will be treated as a request to withdraw the appeal and to reopen prosecution of the application before the examiner."

Accordingly, the appeal in the application is dismissed.

Appeal No. 2004-0656 Application No. 09/664,130

This application is being returned to the examiner for further action as may be appropriate.

Gary V. Harkcom, Acting Chief) Administrative Patent Judge)

William F Smith

Administrative Patent Judge

) BOARD OF PATENT

APPEALS AND

INTERFERENCES

Jeffrey V. Nase

Administrative Patent Judge

GVH/eld

Appeal No. 2004-0656 Application No. 09/664,130

Dinnin & Dunn, P.C. 2701 Cambridge Court, Ste. 500 Auburn Hills, MI 48326